

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,620	10/779,620 02/1		Robert H. Benner	45118-00083	9105
24633	7590	10/15/2004		EXAMINER	
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP				MULL, FRED H	
		BIA SQUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		-			TATER NOMBER
WASHING	11011, DC	20004			
555 THIRTEENTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004			3662 DATE MAILED: 10/15/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
<i>.</i> ∙	10/779,620	BENNER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Fred H. Mull	3662					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABAN.	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ı.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	<i>July 2004</i> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) □ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applority documents have been recau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/779,620

Art Unit: 3662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pfeiffer.

Pfeiffer teaches the claimed passive coherent location system, method, and structure including: line track (col. 53, lines 47-49), target tracking (abstract; col. 64, lines 28-42), computing a state covariance (col. 77, lines 13-16, col. 85, lines 26-64), and the use of a Kalman filter (cols. 69-70).

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rakijas.

Rakijas teaches the claimed passive coherent location system, method, and structure including: line track (col. 4, lines 2-15), target tracking (col. 4, lines 33-39), computing a state covariance (col. 6, lines 39-47), and the use of a Kalman filter (col. 4, lines 9-15; col. 9, line 43 to col. 5, line 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/779,620

Art Unit: 3662

Conclusion

3. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/136441. The claim is drawn to the same invention claimed in original claim 1 of the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if it had been entered in the earlier application. No amendments, nor arguments based on the previous rejection are presented. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred H. Mull whose telephone number is 703-305-1250. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H Tarcza can be reached on 703-360-4171. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3662

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fred H. Mull Examiner Art Unit 3662

fhm

THOMAS H. TARCZA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600